

International Scientific Journal Intelligent Mathematics

Journal information

ISSN (online):

SOI: [1.1/IM](http://s-o-i.org/1.1/im)

DOI: [10.15863/IM](https://doi.org/10.15863/IM)

Volume: 3

Issue: 1

Article information

Received: 2016-10-23

Accepted: 2016-12-15

Published: 2017-02-01



Rezzy Eko Caraka^{1,2*}, Alvita Rachma Devi¹

¹Department of Statistics, Diponegoro University, Indonesia

²Data Science Indonesia

*corresponding author: rezzyekocaraka@gmail.com

APPLICATION OF NON-PARAMETRIC BASIS SPLINE (B-SPLINE) IN TEMPERATURE FORECASTING

Abstract: "Weather is important but hard to predict"—lay people and scientists alike will agree. The complexity of system limits the knowledge about it and therefore its predictability even over a few days. It is complex because many variables within the Earth's atmosphere, such as temperature and they do so nonlinearly. B-spline as a basis for one-dimensional regression and we extend this paper by using B-spline to construct a basis for bivariate regression. This construction gives a basis in two dimensions with local support and hence a fully flexible family of fitted mortality surfaces one of the principal motivations behind the use of B-spline as the basis of regression is that it does not suffer from the lack of stability that can so bedevil ordinary polynomial regression. The essential difference is that B-spline have local non-zero support in contrast to the polynomial basis for standard regression. The optimal B-Spline models rely on the optimal knots that has a minimum Generalized Cross Validation (GCV)

Key words: Temperature, B-Spline, Generalized Cross Validation, non-parametric

Language: English

Citation: Caraka, R.E., et al. (2017). Application of non parametric basis spline (b-spline) in temperature forecasting. ISJ Intelligent Mathematics, Sweden, № 1(3), pp.11-20.

Soi: <http://s-o-i.org/1.1/im-2017-2>

Doi: <http://doi.org/>

Pdf: <http://int-math.com/arxiv/1im/2017/im-2017-2.pdf>

A. INTRODUCTION

Far from being future fear, global warming is happening now, and scientists have evidence that humans are to blame. For decades, cars and factories have spewed billions of tons of greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, and these gases caused temperatures to rise between 0.6°C and 0.9°C (1.08°F to 1.62°F) over the past century. The rate of warming in the last 50 years was double the rate observed over the last 100 years. Temperatures are certain to go up further. Several studies have looked at the potential impact of climate change.

Riebeek (2007) explained Global warmth begins with sunlight. When light from the Sun reaches the Earth, roughly 30 percent of it is reflected back into

space by clouds, atmospheric particles, reflective ground surfaces, and even ocean surf. The remaining 70 percent of the light is absorbed by the land, air, and oceans, heating our planet's surface and atmosphere and making life on Earth possible. Solar energy does not stay bound up in Earth's environment forever. Instead, as the rocks, the air, and the sea warm, they emit thermal radiation, or infrared heat. Much of this thermal radiation travels directly out to space, allowing Earth to cool.

Some of this outgoing radiation, however, is reabsorbed by water vapor, carbon dioxide, and the gases in the atmosphere (called greenhouse gases because of their heat-trapping capacity) and is then re-radiated back toward The Earth's surface. On the whole, this re-absorption process is good. If there were no

greenhouse gases or clouds in the atmosphere, the Earth's average surface temperature would be a very chilly -18°C (0°F) instead of the comfortable 15°C (59°F) that it is today. What make scientists concerned now is that over the past 250 years, humans have been artificially raising the concentration of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere at an ever-increasing rate. By 2004, humans were pumping out over 8 billion tons of carbon dioxide per year. Some of it was absorbed by "sinks" like forests or the ocean, and the rest accumulated in the atmosphere. We produce millions of pounds of methane by allowing our trash to decompose in landfills and by breeding large herds of methane-belching cattle. Nitrogen-based fertilizers and other soil management practices lead to the release of nitrous oxide into the atmosphere.

Green (2009) explained Policymakers need to know whether prediction is possible and, if so, whether any proposed forecasting method will provide forecasts that are substantially more accurate than those from the relevant benchmark method. An inspection of global temperature data suggests that temperature is subject to irregular variations on all relevant time scales, and that variations during the late 1900s were not unusual. In such a situation, a "no change" extrapolation is an appropriate benchmark forecasting method. We used the UK Met Office Hadley Centre's annual average thermometer data from 1850 through 2007 to examine the performance of the benchmark method. The accuracy of forecasts from the benchmark is such that even perfect forecasts would be unlikely to help policymakers. For example, mean absolute errors for the 20- and 50-year horizons were 0.18°C and 0.24°C respectively. We nevertheless demonstrate the use of benchmarking with the example of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's 1992 linear projection of long-term warming at a rate of 0.03°C per year. The small sample of errors from ex ante projections at 0.03°C per year for 1992 through 2008 was practically indistinguishable from the benchmark errors. Validation for long-term forecasting, however, requires a much longer horizon. Again using the IPCC warming rate for our demonstration, we projected the rate successively over a period analogous to that envisaged in their scenario of exponential CO₂ growth—the years 1851 to 1975. The errors from the projections were more than seven times greater than the errors from the benchmark method. Relative errors were larger for longer forecast horizons. Our validation exercise illustrates the importance of determining whether it is possible to obtain forecasts that are more useful than those from a simple benchmark before making expensive policy decisions.

The most obvious impact of global warming will be changes in both average and extreme temperature and precipitation, but warming will also enhance coastal erosion, lengthen the growing season, melt ice caps and glaciers, and alter the range of some infectious diseases, among other things. For most places, global warming will result in more hot days and fewer cool days, with

the greatest warming happening over land. Longer, more intense heat waves will become more frequent. High latitudes and generally wet places will tend to receive more rainfall, while tropical regions and generally dry places will probably receive less rain. Increases in rainfall will come in the form of bigger, wetter storms, rather than in the form of more rainy days. In between those larger storms will be longer periods of light or no rain, so the frequency of drought will increase. Hurricanes will likely increase in intensity due to warmer ocean surface temperatures.

Napiorkowski, M.J. (2014) discussed about Stream temperature forecasting by means of ensemble of neural networks: Importance of input variables and ensemble size in The Valley of Biala Tarnowska River. Located in the central part of the Polish Carpathians. The source is located in the Low Beskid at altitudes of 730 meters (Carpathian belt, southern Poland). The total length of the river is 101.8 km and the catchment area to the Koszyce Wielkie gauging station (10 km to the southwest from the city of Tarnow) equals 956.9 km. Biala Tarnowska catchment is very narrow and extends from the border with Slovakia. The majority of the river has unregulated banks and is in a natural state. Fields, pastures, meadows, and natural vegetation predominate in the catchment of the upper and middle portion of the river. Dominant geology can be defined as sandstone and shale flysch. Biala Tarnowska catchment is divided into two different parts. The south section, representing about 25% of the catchment, is a wooded mountain part with the average slope of 10%. The north part representing almost 75% of the basin, characterized by deep river valleys (mostly agricultural hills and foothills), is generally deforested. The river slope in the northern part is in the range of 0.9–5 %. The highest precipitation (up to 100 mm/month), and hence frequent spates are observed during summer months. Average (high/low) temperature is ($1^{\circ}\text{C}/5^{\circ}\text{C}$) in January and ($25^{\circ}\text{C}/13^{\circ}\text{C}$) in July. According to the Köppen Climate Classification Biala Tarnowska river is located within the Humid Continental Zone. It may freeze during winter months and river ice may occur between November and April. If the Biala Tarnowska River is frozen and the results forecasting performance of the neural networks is satisfactory and generally more elements in aggregated ensemble results in better forecast. Prediction errors observed in winter are related to the river freezing and melting processes, which are very difficult to be predicted accurately.

B. NON PARAMETRIC REGRESSION

The nonparametric approach to estimating a regression curve has four main purposes. First, it provides a versatile method of exploring a general relationship between two variables. Second, it gives predictions of observations yet to be made without reference to a fixed parametric model. Third, it provides a tool for finding spurious observations by studying the influence of isolated points. Fourth, it constitutes a

flexible method of substituting for missing values or interpolating between adjacent X-values.

Fox, J and Weisberg, S (2010) introduced in traditional parametric regression models, the functional form of the model is specified before the model is fit to data, and the object is to estimate the parameters of the model. In nonparametric regression, in contrast, the object is to estimate the regression function directly without specifying its form explicitly. The theory and

C. BASIS SPLINE (B-SPLINE)

According to definition of B-spline, since we will mainly be working with functions in this chapter, we use x as the independent variable. Definition 1. Let d be a nonnegative integer and let $\mathbf{t} = (t_j)$, the knot vector or knot sequence, be a no decreasing sequence of real numbers of length at least $d + 2$ the j -th B-Spline of degree d with knots \mathbf{t} is defined by

$$B_{j,d,t}(x) = \frac{x - t_j}{t_{j+d} - t_j} B_{j,d-1,t}(x) + \frac{t_{j+1+d} - x}{t_{j+1+d} - t_{j+1}} B_{j+1,d-1,t}(x), \quad (1)$$

For all real numbers x , with

$$B_{j,d,t}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t_j \leq x < t_{j+1}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Many properties of B-spline can be deduced directly from the definition. One of the most basic properties is that

$$B_{j,d,t}(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \text{ when } t_j = t_{j+d+1}$$

Definition for $d = 0$ if it is true for B-spline of degree $d - 1$, the zero convention means that if $t_j = t_{j+d+1}$ then both $B_{j,d-1}(x)/t_{j+d}-t_j$ and $B_{j+1,d-1}(x)/t_{j+1+d}-t_{j+1}$. The recurrence relation can therefore be expressed more explicitly as

$$B_{j,d,t}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t_j = t_{j+d+1} \\ s_1(x), & \text{if } t_j < t_{j+d} \text{ and } t_{j+1} = t_{j+1+d} \\ s_2(x), & \text{if } t_j = t_{j+d} \text{ and } t_{j+1} < t_{j+1+d} \\ s_1(x) + s_2(x), & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

where

$$s_1(x) = \frac{x - t_j}{t_{j+d} - t_j} B_{j,d-1}(x) \text{ and } s_2(x) = \frac{t_{j+1+d} - x}{t_{j+1+d} - t_{j+1}} B_{j+1,d-1}(x)$$

for all x

Lyche, T and Mørken, K give example B-splines of degree 1 as bellow

$$B_{j,1}(x) = \frac{x - t_j}{t_{j+1} - t_j} B_{j,0}(x) + \frac{t_{j+2} - x}{t_{j+2} - t_{j+1}} B_{j,0}(x)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{(x - t_j)}{(t_{j+1} - t_j)}, & \text{if } t_j \leq x < t_{j+1}; \\ \frac{(t_{j+2} - x)}{(t_{j+2} - t_{j+1})}, & \text{if } t_{j+1} \leq x < t_{j+2}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The notation $B_{j,d}(x) = B(x|t_j, \dots, t_{j+d+1})$ will sometimes be used to emphasize the dependence of a B-spline on the individual knots. For example, if $d \geq 2$ and

methods of smoothing have been developed mainly in the last ten years. The intensive interest in smoothing over this last decade had two reasons: statisticians realized that pure parametric thinking in curve estimations often does not meet the need for edibility in data analysis and the development of hardware created the demand for theory of now computable nonparametric estimates.

if we set $(t_j, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_{j+d}, t_{j+d+1}) = (a, b, \dots, c, d)$, then equation (1) can be written

$$\begin{aligned} B(x|a, b, \dots, c, d)(x) &= \frac{x - a}{c - a} B(x|a, b, \dots, c, d) \\ &+ \frac{d - x}{d - b} B(x|b, \dots, c, d) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

(Quadratic B-splines) can be defined as

1. $B(x|0,0,0,1) = (1 - x)B(x|0,0,1) = (1 - x)^2 B(x|0,1).$
2. $B(x|0,0,1,2) = x \left(2 - \frac{3}{2}x\right) B(x|0,1) + \frac{1}{2}(2 - x)^2 B(x|1,2).$
3. $B(x|0,1,2,3) = \frac{x^2}{2} B(x|0,1) + \left(\frac{3}{4} - (x - \frac{3}{2})^2\right) B(x|1,2) + \frac{(3-x)^2}{2} B(x|2,3).$
4. $B(x|0,1,1,2) = x^2 B(x|0,1) + (2 - x)^2 B(x|1,2).$
5. $B(x|0,0,1,1) = 2x(1 - x)B(x|0,1)$
6. $B(x|0,1,2,2) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 B(x|0,1) + (2 - x)\left(\frac{3}{2}x - 1\right) B(x|1,2).$
7. $B(x|0,1,1,1) = x^2 B(x|0,1).$

Based on equation 1 generic quadratic B-spline can be written as.

$$\begin{aligned} B_{j,2}(x) &= \frac{x - t_j}{t_{j+1} - t_j} \left[\frac{x - t_j}{t_{j+1} - t_j} B_{j,0}(x) + \frac{t_{j+2} - x}{t_{j+2} - t_{j+1}} B_{j+1,0}(x) \right] \\ &+ \frac{t_{j+3} - x}{t_{j+3} - t_{j+1}} \left[\frac{x - t_{j+1}}{t_{j+2} - t_{j+1}} B_{j+1,0}(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{t_{j+3} - x}{t_{j+3} - t_{j+2}} B_{j+2,0}(x) \right] \\ &= \frac{(x - t_j)^2}{(t_{j+2} - t_j)(t_{j+1} - t_j)} B_{j,0}(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{(t_{j+3} - x)^2}{(t_{j+3} - t_{j+1})(t_{j+3} - t_{j+2})} B_{j+2,0}(x) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{(x - t_j)(t_{j+2} - x)}{(t_{j+2} - t_j)(t_{j+2} - t_{j+1})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(t_{j+3} - x)(x - t_{j+1})}{(t_{j+3} - t_{j+1})(t_{j+2} - t_{j+1})} \right) B_{j+1,0}(x) \end{aligned}$$

In general a cubic B-spline has $3 - m$ continuous derivatives at a knot of multiplicity m for $m = 1, 2, 3$. A cubic B-Spline with a knot of multiplicity 4 is discontinuous at the knot. B-splines possess a property

called translation invariance. Mathematically this is expressed by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} B(x+y|t_j+y, \dots, t_{j+d+1}+y) \\ = B(x|t_j, \dots, t_{j+d+1})x, y \\ \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

by checking case $d = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(x+y|t_j+y, t_{j+1}+y) \\ = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t_j+y \leq x+y < t_{j+1}+y; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t_j \leq x < t_{j+1}; \\ 0, & \text{Otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So equation (3) holds for $d = 0$ suppose that the translation invariance holds for B-spline of degree $d - 1$ can be written

$$\frac{(x+y)-(t_j+y)}{(t_{j+d}+y)-(t_j-y)} = \frac{x-t_j}{t_{j+d}-t_j},$$

Wahba (1975), Wahba (1979) convergence rates of splines are considered. The pioneering article on cross-validation in this context is Wahba (1975), which was extended later to the smoothing of the log periodogram; (see Wahba, 1980). The term generalized cross-validation (GCV) was coined by Wahba (1977). A minimax type approach to the question of rates of convergence was done by Nussbaum (1985) who obtained exact bounds for the integrated squared error under a normality assumption on the error variables. Cross-validation is a method of reusing the data in a fashion which simulates what would be done if repeated observations were available. However, since there is

actually only one observation for each μ_i . Another useful method for selecting λ is generalized cross validation which we abbreviate by GCV. Assuming that trace $[\mathbf{S}_\lambda] < n$

$$\begin{aligned} CV(\lambda) &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_{\lambda(i)})^2 = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{y_i - \mu_{\lambda(i)}}{1 - s_i} \right]^2 \\ GCV(\lambda) &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_{\lambda(i)})^2 \left\{ \frac{1 - s_i}{n^{-1} \text{tr} [\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S}_\lambda]} \right\}^2 \end{aligned}$$

D. SIMULATION

In this paper, we use daily average temperature data from BMKG, start from January 1st, 2014 to February 7th, 2016. There are several steps to analyze. First, because the daily average temperature data $\{Z_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 600\}$ is a time series data, then to model the data using non-parametric regression B-spline, the data is modified to $\{(x_i, y_i), i = 2, 3, \dots, n\} = \{(Z_{i-1}, Z_i), i = 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ with $n = 600$. Second, we use non-parametric regression B-spline by finding the number of knot from order 2, order 3, and order 4. Furthermore, we have to calculate the optimum knot based on GCV minimum in each order and find prediction based on best model. Using software R, we get knot and GCV values as follows:

Table 1. Simulation Using Basis Spline

Orde	Number of Knot	Knot	GCV
Linier*	1	25.21	3,112961
	2*	20.21, 22.21	3,108242
	3	21.21, 22.21, 23.21	3,109319
Quadratic	1	19.21	3,129466
	2	22.21, 23.21	3,122247
	3	20.21, 21.21, 22.21	3,128198
Cubic	1	25.21	3,125733
	2	14.21, 21.21	3,132206
	3	21.21, 22.21, 23.21	3,139394

*Best model

The determination of the best model is based on minimum GCV value. From table 1 above, we get the best model for each order, which are B-Spline Liner (order 2) at knot 20,21 and 22,21, B-Spline Quadratic

(order 3) at knot 22,21 and 23,21, and B-Spline Cubic (order 4) at knot 25,21.

After getting the value of the parameter estimation using linear, quadratic, and cubic models of B-Spline with minimum GCV for each order, comparison of actual data predictions may be described in figure.1




Fig. 1. Results of data actual and prediction based time (t)




Fig. 2. Results of selection optimal smoothing parameter B-spline

o: actual data

— : prediction

Curves of B - Spline regression estimation can be seen in Figure 2. The point - black dots in Figure 2 is the

actual of temperature data. While the red line represents the value of temperature prediction datasets $\{(x_i, y_i), i$

$=2,3,\dots,n} = \{(Z_{i-1}, Z_i), i=2,3,\dots,n\}$ with $n = 600$. Based on Table 1, we get the best model estimation using B-Spline liner (orde 2) at knots 20,21 and 22,21 with GCV value 3,108242. Therefore, the parameter estimation of B-Spline model is as follows:

$$\hat{y} = 28,08631 N_{-1,2}(x) + 28,50874 N_{0,2}(x) + 24,88 N_{1,2}(x) + 28,89694 N_{2,2}(x)$$

$$N_{-1,2}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{20,21-x}{8,02} & , \quad 12,19 \leq x \leq 20,21 \\ 0 & , \quad others \end{cases}$$

with:

$$N_{1,2}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-20,21}{2} & , \quad 20,21 \leq x \leq 22,21 \\ \frac{30,41-x}{8,2} & , \quad 22,21 \leq x \leq 30,41 \\ 0 & , \quad others \end{cases}$$

and

$$N_{2,2}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-22,21}{8,2} & , \quad 22,21 \leq x \leq 30,41 \\ 0 & , \quad others \end{cases}$$

We can see more clearly the comparison between

forecasting data and actual data on graph as follows.

$$N_{0,2}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-12,19}{8,02} & , \quad 12,19 \leq x \leq 20,21 \\ \frac{22,21-x}{2} & , \quad 20,21 \leq x \leq 22,21 \\ 0 & , \quad others \end{cases}$$




Fig. 3. Comparison Forecasting and Actual Data

The movement of forecasting curve has tendency to follow actual data, so the B-Spline model above can be used to view the indication of the changing in temperature. By using the best model of B-Spline

regression, we get forecast value of daily average temperature for the next 12 days, that may be described in Table.2

Table 2. Comparison between Forecasting Data and Actual Data

Date	Forecasting	Actual (Outsample Data)
08-Feb-16	27,52363	27,70
09-Feb-16	27,48617	27,60
10-Feb-16	27,46780	27,70

11-Feb-16	27,45879	27,90
12-Feb-16	27,45437	28,60
13-Feb-16	27,45220	29,00
14-Feb-16	27,45114	28,50
15-Feb-16	27,45062	29,10
16-Feb-16	27,45036	28,70
17-Feb-16	27,45024	28,80
18-Feb-16	27,45017	28,10
19-Feb-16	27,45014	25,60

Based on Table 2, the difference between forecasting data and actual data on February 8th, 2016 is quite small, that is 0,176%. The small difference between forecasting data and actual data also can be obtained on February 9th, 2016 - February 12th, 2016, that is under 0,5%. The remaining data have difference between 0,65% - 1,85%

CONCLUSION.

Based on the optimal GCV value, the best model of the temperature data obtained using a linear model of B

- spline (order 2) at 20.21 and 22.21 knots point with GCV value of 3.108242. Based on the graph comparison of actual and predicted based on time (t) using a model B - spline linear (orde 2), the graph shows that the estimated value equal to the actual value of inflation, the movement of the curve estimation follows the movement of actual inflation, so the regression model B - spline used can used to find indications of rising - falling value of temperature will occur

APPENDIX

R CODE

```
gcv1=function(x,y,m,c,d)
{
a=min(x)
b=max(x)
knot=seq(a+c,b-c,by=d)
v=length(knot)
n=length(y)
Gcv=matrix(nrow=v,ncol=1)
for (j in 1:v)
{
bss=bs(x, df = NULL, knots = knot[j], degree = m-1, intercept = TRUE, Boundary.knots = range(x))
fm1 = lm(y ~ bss-1)
fhat=predict.lm(fm1)
RSS=sum((y-fhat)^2)
S=bss%*%MPL(t(bss)%*%bss)%*%t(bss)
I=matrix(0,ncol=n,nrow=n)
for(i in 1: n)
I[i,i]=1
k=sum(diag(I-S))
GCV=(RSS/n)/(k/n)^2
Gcv[j]=GCV
}
R=matrix(c(knot,Gcv),nrow=v)
sort.R=R[order(R[,2]),]
So=sort.R[1:10,]
cat(" B-Spline orde=",m," 1 knot, optimum knot =",So[1,1]," GCV min=",So[1,2],"\\n")
cat("Display GCV and KNOT:\\n")
cat("=====\\n")
cat(" Number Knot      GCV\\n")
cat("=====\\n")
So
}
```

Tabel.3 Simulation Using Basis Spline with 1 Knot

1 KNOT					
ORDE 2 (LINIER)		ORDE 3 (QUADRATIC)		ORDE 4 (QUBIC)	
KNOT	GCV	KNOT	GCV	KNOT	GCV
25,21	3,112961	19,21	3,129466	25,21	3,125733
26,21	3,113105	18,21	3,129528	24,21	3,125813
24,21	3,116031	20,21	3,129609	26,21	3,125904
23,21	3,122832	17,21	3,130019	23,21	3,126075
22,21	3,136705	21,21	3,130311	22,21	3,127405
27,21	3,151923	16,21	3,131306	27,21	3,128889
21,21	3,160084	22,21	3,132215	21,21	3,130582
20,21	3,177089	15,21	3,133789	20,21	3,134714
19,21	3,178578	23,21	3,134287	28,21	3,136506
18,21	3,179159	24,21	3,1366	19,21	3,138303

Tabel.4 Simulation Using Basis Spline 2 Knot

2 KNOT								
ORDE 2 (LINIER)			ORDE 3 (QUADRATIC)			ORDE 4 (QUBIC)		
KNOT 1	KNOT 2	GCV	KNOT 1	KNOT 2	GCV	KNOT 1	KNOT 2	GCV
20,21	22,21	3,10824	22,21	23,21	3,12225	14,21	21,21	3,13221
21,21	22,21	3,10921	21,21	23,21	3,12233	15,21	20,21	3,13239
18,21	22,21	3,10945	21,21	24,21	3,12341	15,21	21,21	3,13239
19,21	22,21	3,10947	20,21	27,21	3,12343	16,21	18,21	3,13239
17,21	22,21	3,11022	21,21	27,21	3,12379	15,21	19,21	3,13242
16,21	22,21	3,11288	19,21	27,21	3,12399	16,21	19,21	3,13242
26,21	28,21	3,11539	21,21	22,21	3,12502	17,21	18,21	3,13244
17,21	23,21	3,11574	20,21	26,21	3,12511	16,21	20,21	3,13256
18,21	23,21	3,11585	21,21	26,21	3,12518	17,21	19,21	3,13258
20,21	23,21	3,11595	18,21	27,21	3,12547	14,21	20,21	3,13258

Tabel.5 Simulation Using Basis Spline 3 Knot

3 KNOT											
ORDE 2 (LINIER)			ORDE 3 (QUADRATIC)			ORDE 4 (QUBIC)					
KNOT 1	KNOT 2	KNOT 3	GCV	KNOT 1	KNOT 2	KNOT 3	GCV	KNOT 1	KNOT 2	KNOT 3	GCV
			3,1093				3,1281				3,1393
21,21	22,21	23,21	19	20,21	21,21	22,21	98	21,21	22,21	23,21	94
			3,1093				3,1288				3,1404
21,21	22,21	24,21	19	20,21	21,21	23,21	54	20,21	22,21	23,21	73
			3,1093				3,1297				3,1411
21,21	23,21	24,21	19	21,21	22,21	27,21	41	19,21	22,21	23,21	48

21,21	22,21	25,21	3,1134	63	12,21	21,21	23,21	3,1298	18	15,21	22,21	23,21	3,1411
			3,1153					3,1299					76
20,21	22,21	28,21	61	19,21	21,21	23,21	58	16,21	22,21	23,21	23,21	79	3,1412
			3,1153				3,1301						3,1413
21,21	22,21	28,21	81	13,21	21,21	23,21	22	18,21	22,21	23,21	23,21	38	
			3,1165				3,1303						3,1413
20,21	22,21	29,21	16	18,21	21,21	23,21	89	17,21	22,21	23,21	23,21	56	
			3,1168				3,1304						3,1414
19,21	22,21	28,21	91	21,21	23,21	27,21	07	14,21	22,21	23,21	23,21	07	
			3,1169				3,1304						3,1416
18,21	22,21	28,21	51	22,21	23,21	24,21	65	20,21	21,21	23,21	23,21	26	
			3,1171				3,1305						3,1416
21,21	22,21	29,21	93	17,21	21,21	23,21	78	20,21	22,21	24,21	24,21	85	

References:

- Baillie,R.T, Chung,S.K. 2002. Modeling and forecasting from trend-stationary long memorymodels with applications to climatology. International Journal of Forecasting
- Box, G.E.P., Jenkins, G.M., and Reissel. G.C.,1994. *Time Series Analysis Forecasting and Control*. 3rd edition. Englewood Cliffs : Prentice Hall.
- Eberly, D., 1999. B-Spline Interpolation on Lattices. *Jurnal*. <http://www.e-bookspdf.org>, 20 Februari 2014
- Eubank, R.L., 1999, *Spline Smoothing and Nonparametric Regression*. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Filho,W.L. 2009. Communicating climate change:challenges ahead andaction needed. International Journal of ClimateChange Strategies and ManagementVol. 1 No. 1, pp. 6-18
- H. L. Wei and S. A. Billings. 2006. An efficient nonlinear cardinal B-spline model for high tide forecastsat the Venice Lagoon. Nonlinear Processesin Geophysics
- Hardle, W., 1990. *Applied Nonparametric Regression*. Cambridge University
- Hole,S.M, and Teigen,K.H. 2015. Forecasting forecasts: The trend effect. Judgment and Decision Making, Vol. 10, No. 5, pp. 416–428
- Lyche,T., and Mørken,K. 2008 Spline MethodsDraft. University of Oslo
- Morisson,J., Quick,M.C., and Foreman,M.G.G. 2002 . Climate Change in The Fraser River watershed: flow and temperature projections. *Journal of Hydrology* 263 pp.230-244
- Napiorkowski,M.K. 2014. Stream temperature forecasting by means of ensemble of neuralnetworks: Importance of input variables and ensemble size. Taylor & Francis Group, London, ISBN 978-1-138-02674-2.
- Nizamidinov,A., Memmedli,M., And Ozdemir.O. 2010. Comparison Study Of P-Spline And Univariate Additive Model (Cubic Smoothing Spline) In Time-Series Prediction. International conference 24th Mini Euro Conference
- Prahutama,A., Utama, T.W.,Caraka, R.E., and Zumrohtuliyosi,D. 2014 Pemodelan Inflasi Berdasarkan Harga-Harga Pangan Menggunakan Spline Multivaribel. *Journal Media Statistika*, Universitas Diponegoro ISSN: 1979-3693 pp. 89-94. DOI: 10.14710/medstat.7.2.89-94Ralph J. Cicerone, Climate Change Evidence & Causes. The National Academy Of Sciences (NAS)
- Roy.L.,Leconte,R., Brisetter.F., and Marche,C, 2001. The impact of climate change on seasonal floods of asouthern Quebec River Basin Hydrological Processes *Hydrol. Process.* 15, 3167–3179
- Taylor,J.W., Buizza.R. 2004. A Comparison of Temperature Density Forecasts from GARCH and Atmospheric Models *Journal of Forecasting*, 2004, Vol. 23, pp. 337-355.
- Wahba, G. (1975). Optimal convergence properties of variable knot, kernel, and

- orthogonalseries methods for density estimation, Annals of Statistics 3: 15-29.
17. Wahba, G. (1977). Applications of statistics, in P. Krishnaiah (ed.), A survey of some smoothing problems and the method of generalized cross-validation for solving them, North Holland, Amsterdam.
18. Wahba, G. (1979). Convergence rates of "thin plate" smoothing splines when the data are noisy, in T. Gasser and M. Rosenblatt (eds), Smoothing techniques for curve estimation, Springer-Verlag, New York.
19. Wahba, G. (1980). Automatic smoothing of the log periodogram, Journal of the American Statistical Association 75: 122-32.