

## International Scientific Journal Intelligent Mathematics

### Journal information

ISSN (online):

SOI: [1.1/IM](#)

DOI: [10.15863/IM](#)

Volume: 3

Issue: 1

### Article information

Received: 2017-01-01

Accepted: 2017-01-01

Published: 2017-01-01



Rezzy Eko Caraka<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Hasbi Yasin<sup>2</sup>  
Adi Waridi Basyiruddin<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Data Science Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Statistics, Faculty of Science and  
Mathematics

Diponegoro University, Indonesia

\*E-mail: [rezzyekocaraka@gmail.com](mailto:rezzyekocaraka@gmail.com)

# FORECASTING CRUDE PALM OIL PRICES USING SUPPORT VECTOR REGRESSION RADIAL BASIS KERNEL

**Abstract:** *Palm is a plant that has potential economic value. The growing need for palm oil for cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, food, industry, biofuels or others made demand is increasing, causing increased demand for palm oil and higher selling prices. Therefore, we need a method to predict of crude palm oil prices. SVR is a deep learning technique that can provide good performance in forecasting. In this study, using a radial basis kernel and uses the data 80% testing and 20% training. Based on the forecast, the price of crude palm oil is increasing with good accuracy the value of MAPE of training 0.91% and testing 0.87% and also R2 of training 98.71% and testing 83.45%. Impacts that will arise with the price is the industry will become more frequent in producing palm oil that will impact both on the economy as a great profit margin. But if not addressed wisely in terms of the environment will suffer losses, therefore, the Government should issue a policy or regulation to anticipate them.*

**Key words:** Crude Palm Oil; Forecasting; SVR; Radial Basis; Kernel.

**Language:** English

**Citation:** Caraka, R.E., et al. (2017). Forecasting crude palm oil prices using support vector regression radial basis kernel. ISJ Intelligent Mathematics, Sweden, № 1(3), pp.1-10.

**Soi:** <http://s-o-i.org/1.1/im-2017-1>

**Doi:** <http://doi.org/>

**Pdf:** <http://int-math.com/arxiv/1im/2017/im-2017-1.pdf>

## 1. Introduction

Indonesia is one of the countries producing palm oil. Palm oil producing regions in Indonesia spread on the island of Sumatra, Java, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, and Papua. Economic impact in palm oil itself is quite large, so for investors, predicts the price of palm oil is very vital. According to data from the Ministry of Agriculture of Indonesia, a total area of oil palm plantations in Indonesia currently reaches approximately 8 million hectares; a doubling of the area in 2000 when about 4 million hectares of land in Indonesia is used for oil palm plantations. That number is expected to increase to 13 million hectares in 2020. Definition of palm oil or palm oil itself is a vegetable oil obtained from mesocarp edible fruit of palm trees, generally of the species *Elaeis guineensis*, and a bit of a species *Elaeis oleifera* and *Attalea maripa* (Reeves, 1979). According to a study at Harvard University, the content in palm oil consists of fatty acids esterified with glycerol as well as all types of fat.

But unlike all types of fat, saturated fat palm oil contains a high percentage (The truth about fats: the good, the bad, and the in-between). Part of palm oil used is fruit. The fruit is processed to manufacture crude oil and cooking, margarine, soaps, cosmetics, and products in the pharmaceutical field. While the rest, are used as ingredients for feed and compost after fermented.

In the field of commodity crops, oil palm and made the product of one of the most important in Indonesia. In 2014, Indonesia produced 33.5 million tons of palm oil, which generates \$ 18.9 billion of export revenue (Palm Oil Production by Country in 1000 MT). Palm oil has become the most valuable export behind coal and oil (Palm Oil). The rate of Indonesian palm oil is a relatively new phenomenon with a tremendous growth industry in the last 30 years (From Palm Fruit to Product: Indonesia's Palm Oil Industry).

The price movement of crude palm oil (CPO) has increased and strengthened. So also with the production of palm oil in Indonesia only. Growth is evident in production and exports from Indonesia and also the growth of oil palm plantation area. Driven by the global demand continues to rise and profits also rose, oil palm cultivation has been significantly improved by both small farmers and large employers in Indonesia (with a negative impact on the environment and decrease the amount of production of other agricultural products, as farmers switch to the cultivation of oil palm).

Research on the application of the method of support vector regression (SVR) to the palm oil price predictions have been made (Buono, et al. 2016). They do research on the development of palm oil production in Riau province in each period, to determine the development of the production of the next few years. In this study, researchers used two methods, the SVR and Artificial Neural Network (ANN). The result of the method SVR produce the best model compared with ANN. Based on the above, the author will conduct research on forecasting crude palm oil (CPO) for some period in the future to facilitate the country and those who need the investment in palm oil.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Crude Palm Oil

Crude palm oil is a commodity that is most always used by the public . So far Indonesia and Malaysia are the largest supplier of crude palm oil in the world . The use of crude palm oil , among others for the food , cosmetics , pharmaceuticals , and biofuels industry . Palm oil can be used to create basic oleochemicals and oleochemical derivatives . Some palm oil derivatives include fatty acids, fatty amines, fatty alcohol , fatty esters , glycerol , ethylene methyl , and opoksi compound. In the economic aspect, there are several aspects that can influence the crude palm oil , among others

- 1 ) supply & demand
- 2 ) Price competition
- 3 ) weather
- 4 ) import policy
- 5 ) changes in tax policy and levies export / import

### 2.2 Time Series Forecasting

In the study, there is a statistical science that studies predict the value at the time came to be known by the time series forecasting or forecasting methods . Forecasting is a prediction of values of a variable based on the known values of the variable or variables related ( Makridakis et al , 1992) . Introduced in 1970 by George E. P. Box and Gwilym M. Jenkins in his book Time Series Analysis : Forecasting and Control . The rationale was the observation time series now ( $Y_t$ ) depends on one or several previous observations ( $Y_{t-k}$ ). The purpose time series is to describe the specific mechanism, predicts a future value and optimize the control system

### 2.3 Support Vector Regression (SVR)

Support Vector Regression (SVR) is the application of Support Vector Machine for regression case. Support Vector Regression is also a method that can overcome the overfitting, so it will produce a good performance. Suppose there are  $l$  training data,  $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$   $i = 1, \dots, l$  of the input data  $\mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_l\} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}^N$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_l\} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$  and  $l$  is the number of training data. SVR obtained by the method of regression function as follows:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + b \quad (1)$$

with:

$\mathbf{w}$  = Vector weighting

$\varphi(\mathbf{x})$  = Function which maps  $\mathbf{x}$  in a dimension

$b$  = bias

In order to obtain good generalization for the regression function, can be done by minimizing the norm of  $\mathbf{w}$ . Hence the need for the completion of the following optimization problem:

$$\min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \right\} \quad (2)$$

with the provision of:

$$y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b \leq \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{w}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i + b \leq \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, l$$

It is assumed that there is a function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  that can approximate  $f(\mathbf{x}) \pm \varepsilon$  (feasible). All points  $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$  with precision  $\varepsilon$ . In the case of ineligibility (infeasible), where there are some points that may be out of range  $f(\mathbf{x}) \pm \varepsilon$ , so it can be added slack variablest,  $t^*$  to overcome the problem of inadequate limiting the optimization problem




Fig 1. Margin Loss Function (Smola and Bernhard, 1998)

All the deviations greater than  $f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon$  the penalty will be applied for  $C$ . Figure 1 shows this situation graphically, just outside the points in affected areas that have contributed to large penalties. Further optimization of the above problems can be formulated as follows:

$$\min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^l (t_i + t_i^*) \right\} \quad (4)$$

with the provision of:

$$\begin{aligned} y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b - t_i &\leq \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, l \quad (5) \\ \mathbf{w}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i + b - t_i^* &\leq \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, l \\ t_i, t_i^* &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

According Smola and Scholkopf (2003) optimal solution to the equation (4) with the boundary shown in equation (5) is at lagrange function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mathbf{w}, b, t, t^*, \alpha, \alpha^*, \eta, \eta^*) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^l (t_i + t_i^*) - \\ &\sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i (\varepsilon + t_i - y_i + \mathbf{w}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) + b) - \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* (\varepsilon + \\ &t_i^* + y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b) - \sum_{i=1}^l (\eta_i t_i + \eta_i^* t_i^*) \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Where  $C$  is defined by researchers and  $\alpha_i, \alpha_i^*, \eta_i, \eta_i^*$  is lagrange multiplier. To obtain an optimal solution, then the partial derivatives of  $L$  with  $\mathbf{w}, b, t$ , and  $t^*$ .

$$\alpha_i, \alpha_i^*, \eta_i, \eta_i^* \geq 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = \sum_{i=1}^l (\alpha_i^* - \alpha_i) = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w} - \sum_{i=1}^l (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_i} = C - \alpha_i - \eta_i = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_i^*} = C - \alpha_i^* - \eta_i^* = 0 \quad (11)$$

From the partial derivatives are produced =  $\sum_{i=1}^l (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i)$ , so that the regression function is explicitly formulated as follows:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^l (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}) + b \quad (12)$$

Where the difference between  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_i^*$  produce beta value and  $b$  is bias. According Smola and Scholkopf (2003) the optimal solution for bias ( $b$ ) can be calculated using of the summit (Karush-Kuhn-Tucker) as follows:

$$\alpha_i (\varepsilon + t_i - y_i + \mathbf{w}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) + b) = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$\alpha_i^* (\varepsilon + t_i^* + y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) - b) = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$(C - \alpha_i) t_i = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$(C - \alpha_i^*) t_i^* = 0 \quad (16)$$

Thus obtained:

$$b = y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) - \varepsilon \text{ for } 0 < \alpha_i < C \quad (17)$$

$$b = y_i - \mathbf{w}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i) + \varepsilon \text{ for } 0 < \alpha_i^* < C \quad (18)$$

## 2.4 Kernel Radial Basis

Many data mining technique that was developed with the assumption of linearity, so that the resulting algorithm is limited to cases linear. In general, the cases in the real world is a case that is not linear so as to overcome data not linear that often occurs in real cases, can be applied to the kernel function. With a kernel function of the data in the input space is mapped to the feature space with the higher dimensions through the map  $\varphi$  so:

$$\varphi : \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \quad K_{\text{RBF}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|^2\right] \quad (19)$$

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' \rangle\right]$$

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x}'\|^2\right] \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} - 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle\right]$$

$$C := \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x}'\|^2\right]$$

$$= C \exp\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \rangle$$

$$K_c(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') := K_a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') + K_b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$$

Implications with  $\psi_c$  so

$$\psi_c(\mathbf{x}) := (\psi_a(\mathbf{x}), \psi_b(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$\langle \psi_c(\mathbf{x}), \psi_c(\mathbf{x}') \rangle := \langle \psi_a(\mathbf{x}), \psi_a(\mathbf{x}') \rangle + \langle \psi_b(\mathbf{x}), \psi_b(\mathbf{x}') \rangle$$

## 2.5 Loss Function

According to Gunn (1998) loss function is a function that shows the relationship between an errors with how this error are subject to penalties. Differences loss SVR function will produce different formulations. There are two types of loss function used in this study, the  $\varepsilon$ -insensitive and quadratic loss function. Here is a mathematical formulation for the  $\varepsilon$ -insensitive loss function:

$$L(\mathbf{y}, f(\mathbf{x})) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } |f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{y}| < \varepsilon \\ |f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{y}| - \varepsilon, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

The solution provided is:

$$\max \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^l (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) (\alpha_j - \alpha_j^*) \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i (y_i - \varepsilon) - \alpha_i^* (y_i + \varepsilon) \right\} \quad (21)$$

or can be simplified into:

$$\max \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^l \beta_i \beta_j \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^l \beta_i y_i \right\} \quad (22)$$

with the provision of:

$$-C \leq \beta_i \leq C, i = 1, \dots, l \quad (23)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \beta_i = 0$$

where  $\beta_i = \alpha_i - \alpha_i^*$  and  $\beta_j = \alpha_j - \alpha_j^*$

While the quadratic loss function:

$$L(\mathbf{y}, f(\mathbf{x})) = (f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{y})^2 \quad (24)$$

Produce a solution:

$$\max \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^l (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) (\alpha_j - \alpha_j^*) \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^l (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) y_i - \frac{1}{2C} \sum_{i=1}^l (\alpha_i^2 + \alpha_i^{*2}) \right\}$$

or can be simplified into:

$$\max \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^l \beta_i \beta_j \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^l \beta_i y_i - \frac{1}{2C} \sum_{i=1}^l \beta_i^2 \right\} \quad (26)$$

with the provision of:

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \beta_i = 0 \quad (27)$$

Where  $\beta_i = \alpha_i - \alpha_i^*$  and  $\beta_j = \alpha_j - \alpha_j^*$

## 2.6 Formulation Support Vector Regression in Quadratic Programming Standard

According to Ancona (1999) to meet the standard form quadratic programming so that it can be solved with the quadratic programming solver commercial, equation (20) needs to be converted into:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^l (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) (\alpha_j - \alpha_j^*) \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^l (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \left( \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle - \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j^* \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^l \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j^* \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j^* \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^l \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j^* \langle -\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle \right) + \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* \left( \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j \langle -\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j^* \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle \right) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The new vector  $\mathbf{a}$  is defined by 2l

$$\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l, \alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \dots, \alpha_l^*)$$

and  $\mathbf{D}$  is the matrix  $2l \times 2l$  defined as:

$$\mathbf{D}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle & i = 1, 2, \dots, l \\ & j = 1, 2, \dots, l \\ -\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{j-1} \rangle & i = 1, 2, \dots, l \\ & j = l+1, l+2, \dots, 2l \\ -\langle \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle & i = l+1, l+2, \dots, 2l \\ & j = 1, 2, \dots, l \\ \langle \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_{j-1} \rangle & i = l+1, l+2, \dots, 2l \end{cases}$$

so :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \mathbf{D}_{ij} + \sum_{j=l+1}^{2l} a_j \mathbf{D}_{ij} \right) + \sum_{i=l+1}^{2l} a_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^l a_j \mathbf{D}_{ij} + \sum_{j=l+1}^{2l} a_j \mathbf{D}_{ij} \right) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \sum_{j=1}^{2l} a_j \mathbf{D}_{ij} + \sum_{i=l+1}^{2l} a_i \sum_{j=1}^{2l} a_j \mathbf{D}_{ij} \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{2l} a_i \sum_{j=1}^{2l} a_j \mathbf{D}_{ij} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{D} \mathbf{a} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^l (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) y_i - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^l (\alpha_i + \alpha_i^*) &= \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i y_i + \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* (-y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i (-\varepsilon) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* (-\varepsilon) &= \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i (y_i - \varepsilon) + \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i^* (-y_i - \varepsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Another vector  $\mathbf{c}$  defined by size 2l

$$c = (y_1 - \varepsilon, y_2 - \varepsilon, \dots, y_l - \varepsilon, -y_1 - \varepsilon, -y_2 - \varepsilon, \dots, -y_l - \varepsilon)$$

so:

$$\sum_{i=1}^l a_i c_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^{2l} a_i c_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2l} a_i c_i = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c} \tag{31}$$

Defined vector **b** with size 2l

$$\mathbf{b} = (1, 1, \dots, 1, -1, -1, \dots, -1)$$

Thus limiting linear be:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2l} (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{2l} a_i b_i = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0 \tag{32}$$

The new variables above, the standard form of the Quadratic Programming can be written as:

$$\max \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{c} \right\} \tag{33}$$

With the provision of:

$$\mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} = 0 \\ 0 \leq \mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{C}$$

### 2.7 How to search $\alpha$

According to Gunn (1998) how to find  $\alpha$  are as follows:

$$\min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{a} \right\} \tag{34}$$

Delimited:

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot (1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1) = 0 \tag{35}$$

$$a_i, a_i^* \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, l$$

Where:

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T & -\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \\ -\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T & \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + \mathbf{y} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \text{ dan } \mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^* \end{bmatrix}$$

According Vanderbei (1998)  $\alpha$  can be calculated by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -(\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{I}) & \mathbf{A}^T \\ \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} \tag{36}$$

where  $\mathbf{A} = [1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1]$

### 2.8. Accuracy of Discussion

In case there are several sizes regression error that is often used to assess a performansi prediction. If  $\hat{y}_i$  declared value of predictions for the data to-i and  $y_i$

is the actual output data is all i, then the error can be calculated by some calculations, the MSE, MAD, MAPE and MAE (Bowerman et al., 2005 in Santosa, 2007). The size of the error used in this study is the value of MAPE (Mean Absolute Percentage Error), so the formula of MAPE can be expressed as follows

$$\text{MAPE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{APE}}{n}$$

where :

$$\text{APE} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|y_i - \hat{y}_i|}{y_i} \right) \times 100$$

As for determining the accuracy of prediction models, this study used the calculation of the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ). The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) aims to measure how far the ability of the model in explaining the dependent variable. The coefficient of determination is between zero and one ( $0 < R^2 < 1$ ).  $R^2$  small value means the ability of the independent variables in explaining the variation of the dependent variable are very limited


Sedangkan untuk menentukan akurasi dari model prediksi, pada penelitian ini digunakan perhitungan koefisien determinasi ( $R^2$ ). Koefisien determinasi ( $R^2$ ) bertujuan mengukur seberapa jauh kemampuan model dalam menerangkan variabel dependen. Nilai koefisien determinasi adalah antara nol sampai satu ( $0 < R^2 < 1$ ). Nilai  $R^2$  yang kecil berarti kemampuan variabel-variabel independen dalam menjelaskan variasi variabel dependen sangat terbatas.

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{JKE}{JKT}$$

$$\text{where: } \begin{aligned} JKT &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i^2 - (\bar{y})^2) \\ JKE &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Results and Discussion

The data used in this research is secondary data, i.e. historical data are taken through the site (<http://www.investing.com/commodities/crude-palm-oil-historical-data>) The data crude oil palm prices of historical data from 01 January 2015 until September 5, 2016 and then based on the formula of time series data is modified to be a predictor variable (x) and the response variable (y) through PACF plot. For more details can be seen in Fig.2



**Fig 2. Flowchart**


To view the characteristics of the data can be performed descriptive analysis are presented in Table 1.

**Table 1. Descriptive Statistics**

Mean	StdDev	Minimum	Maximum
460,944	54,31	352,2	573,6


Based on Table 1. It can be seen that the minimum price of August 26, 2015 and a maximum value at 22 April 2016 crude palm oil prices also have a diversity of small to see stddev value of 54.31. The first step in

the analysis is viewed plot partial autocorrelation (PACF) based on Figure 2 can be seen that data out on lag 1 so as input lag is  $X_{t-1}$



**Fig 2. PACF**

To see the volatility of crude palm oil prices can be seen in the figure below



**Fig 3 Volatility Crude palm oil prices**

Based on Figure 3 above it can be seen that the crude palm oil prices have a volatility that is not big enough. The next step is to do with support vector regression modeling to estimate the parameters in

advance using a grid search algorithm based Yasin and Caraka (2016) the value of cost and epsilon taken if it has a small error value

**Table 2. Parameter Estimation**

Cost	Epsilon	Error	Dispersion
0,1	0,1000	68,17083	211,8879
1	0,1000	29,97035	62,0149
10	0,1000	28,9281	62,33006
100	0,1000	28,70373	60,60751
0,1	0,0100	63,07452	192,088
1	0,0100	29,51551	61,01827
10	0,0100	28,04148	58,58143
100	0,0100	27,83702	58,20656
0,1	0,0010	63,98349	196,3066
1	0,0010	29,39629	61,71205
10	0,0010	27,54666	58,0491
100	0,0010	28,06313	57,91202
0,1	0,0001	64,10834	196,5439
1	0,0001	29,3608	61,77654
10*	0,0001*	27,40893*	58,09817*
100	0,0001	28,0534	58,02616


\*Best Parameter

Based on simulation results obtained with best parameter value is 10 and the best cost epsilon 0.0001 with the smallest error value 27.40893, The next step is to perform modeling based on the equation (1) based on the simulation obtained value  $w$  is 13.57197 and bias (b) equal to 0.4954411 so that it can be written:


$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + b$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = 13,57197\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + 0,4954411$$

With  $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$  this research is the radial basis kernel according the equation (19). To see a comparison between predictions and actual data on the training data and test data can be viewed in Gambar.4 and Fig.5 From the picture we can see that the comparison between the target and the test data to each other, which means that the SVR modeling with radial basis kernel data palm oil is successful



**Fig 4 Training SVR Radial Basis**



**Fig 5 Testing SVR Radial Basis**


MAPE is an error quantity comparing actual data with a data rate forecasting model estimation results SVR radial with percentage-based training data - testing used in this study is 80% - 20%. Gained 0.91% to 0.87% for training and testing the value of the error

is so small that it can be concluded that the model-based radial SVR has good accuracy for forecasting as well as the  $R^2$  values of 98.71 and 83.45% for training % for testing.

**Table 3. Modeling Accuracy**

Data	Accuracy	
	$R^2$	MAPE(%)
Training(80%)	0,9871738	0,9191906
Testing (20%)	0,8345659	0,8761705

The next step is to conduct SVR based forecasting model based on radial can be seen in Figure 6 that crude palm oil prices will always continue to rise



**Fig 6 Forecasting**

Based on Figure 6 can be seen that the crude palm oil prices will range in price of \$ 560 that will arise with the impact of the price is the industry will become more frequent in producing palm oil that will impact both on the economy as a great profit margin. But if not addressed wisely in terms of the environment will suffer losses. Too much exploitation of land for oil make forests and other land will be damaged. Pollution caused by the smoke result of clearing land by burning and waste disposal, are ways plantation poison living things in the long term. To resolve these environmental issues the government should make policies and regulations more stringent environmental friendly

#### 4. Conclusion

Based on the analysis concludes that the SVR with radial basis kernel has excellent ability to forecast with accuracy training has  $R^2$  98.71% and MAPE 0.91%

and accuracy testing  $R^2$  amounted to 83.45% with MAPE 87.61%. Based forecasting crude palm oil prices will continue to rise.

#### Acknowledgement.

This paper was enriched significantly through helpful discussions with my co-authors Hasbi Yasin,S.Si,M.Si, providing guidance on the technical aspect of this paper, was essential to the difficult calibration of the data set and syntax, I am immensely grateful to Kadi Mey Ismail. Your insights and comments are very much appreciated and I know the true meaning of friendship. Thank you for supportive friends “Ekspedisi Nusantara Jaya chapter Riau Islands Province” the Coordinating Ministry for Maritime Affairs Republic of Indonesia for great experiences.

#### References:

1. Abe, S. (2005) Support Vector Machine for Pattern Classification. Springer - Verlag, London Limited.
2. Box, G.E.P., G.M.Jenkins, And G.C.Reinsel.(1994). Time Series Analysis : Forecasting and Control, third edition. Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
3. Caraka, R.E., Yasin, H., Sugiyarto, W., Sugiyarto and Ismail, K.E. (2016). Time Series Analysis Using Copula Gauss and AR(1)-N.GARCH(1,1). Vol.9 No.1; Jornal of Media Statistika, Universitas Diponegoro.DOI:10.14710/medstat.9.1.1-13. pp 01-13.
4. Gunn, S. (1998). Support Vector Machines for Classification and Regression. Technical Report, ISIS.
5. Hamel, L. (2009). Knowledge Discovery with Support Vector Machine. United State Of America :A John Wiley & Sons, INC., Publication.
6. Mustakim., Buono (A)., dan Hermadi.i. (2015). Support Vector Regression Untuk Prediksi Produktivitas Kelapa Sawit Di Provinsi Riau.

- Jurnal Sains, Teknologi dan Industri, Vol.12, No.2 Juni, ISSN:1693-2390 print/ ISSN:2407-0939 online. Pp.178-18
7. Reeves, James B.; Weihrauch, John L.; Consumer and Food Economics Institute (1979). Composition of foods: fats and oils. Agriculture handbook 8-4. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Science and Education Administration. p. 4. OCLC 5301713.
  8. Santosa,B. (2007). Data Mining Teknik Pemanfaatan Data untuk Keperluan Bisnis. Yogyakarta:Graha Ilmu.
  9. Scholkopf B., and Smola A. (2003). A Tutorial on Support Vector Regression.
  10. Yao, Y, et al. (2014). An Improved Grid Search Algorithm and Its Application in PCA and SVM Based Face Recognition, Journal of Computational Information Systems. Vol. 10, No. 3:1219–1229.
  11. Yasin,H.,Caraka,R.E., Tarno, and Hoyyi,A. (2016) Prediction Of Crude Oil Prices Using Support Vector Regression (SVR) with grid search–cross validation algorithym. Vol.12 No.4; August. Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Print ISSN : 0973-1768 Online ISSN: 0973-9750. pp. 3009–3020.